

Validation report form for renewal of CDM programme of activities period (Version 03.0)

Complete this form in accordance with the instruct	ions attached at the end of this form.
BASIC	INFORMATION
Title and UNFCCC reference number of the programme of activities (PoA)	Title: Promotion of the Improved Cooking Stove (ICS) – Nepal
	UNFCCC ref no: 9902
Number and duration of the next PoA	2 nd Crediting period.
	Duration: 27/03/2022 to 26/03/2029
Version number of the validation report	1.2
Completion date of the validation report	26/04/2022
Version number of PoA-DD to which this report applies	Version 13 of 20/04/2022
Coordinating/managing entity (CME)	Alternative Energy Promotion Centre (AEPC)
Host Parties	Nepal
Applied methodologies and standardized baselines	AMS-II.G- Energy efficiency measures in thermal applications of non-renewable biomass (Version 12)
Mandatory sectoral scopes	3
Conditional sectoral scopes, if applicable	NA
Name and UNFCCC reference number of	Carbon Check India Pvt. Ltd.
	UNFCCC reference number: E-0052
Name, position and signature of the approver of the validation report	Vixash L. Sil
	Vikash Kumar Singh, Compliance Officer

SECTION A. Executive summary

>>

Purpose and general description and location:

The Programme of activities comprises promotion of Improved Cooking Stoves (ICS) by Alternative Energy Promotion Centre (AEPC) which is a nodal agency for promoting Renewable Energy technologies (RETs) in Federal Democratic Republic of Nepal and also the co-ordinating and managing entity of this PoA. The use of fuel efficient improved cooking stoves would lead to less consumption of fuel-wood which would thus reduce the emissions from the stoves. The PoA includes metallic ICS which is designed and developed by Kathmandu University with support from AEPC.

The programme of activities are implemented throughout Nepal.

Validation scope:

The objective of the Validation is to have an independent evaluation of a PoA with each generic component project activity (CPAs) and any CPA proposed to be included in the PoA by a designated operational entity against the requirements of the CDM as set out in decision 3/CMP.1, its annex and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP, on the basis of the Programme Design Document (POA-DD) and of the Component Project Activity Design Document (CPA-DD). In particular, the demonstration of additionality of the PoA as a whole, the eligibility criteria for inclusion of a CPA in the PoA, the baseline determination for each generic CPA, the monitoring plan for each generic CPA, the estimated emission reduction from any CPA proposed in the project and the programme's compliance with relevant UNFCCC requirements and host Party criteria are validated in order to confirm that the programme design, as documented, is sound and reasonable and meets the identified criteria. Validation is a requirement for all CDM PoA projects and is seen as necessary to provide assurance to stakeholders of the quality of the project and its intended generation of certified emission reductions (CERs).

The validation scope is to review the PoA-DD/CPA-DD against the UNFCCC criteria for CDM. UNFCCC criteria for CDM refer to Article 12 of the Kyoto Protocol, the CDM modalities and procedures, the procedures for registration of programme of activity as a single CDM and the subsequent decisions by the CDM Executive Board.

Validation is not meant to provide any consultancy towards the project participants. However, stated requests for clarifications and/or corrective actions may have provided input for improvement of the project design.

Validation process:

Validation was conducted using Carbon Check procedures in line with the requirements specified in the CDM M&P, the latest version of the CDM Validation and Verification Standard, and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP and the CDM EB and applying standard auditing techniques. The validation consisted of the following three phases:

- Document review;
- Follow-up actions;
- The resolution of outstanding issues and the issuance of the final validation report.

Conclusion:

Alternative Energy Promotion Centre (AEPC) has appointed Carbon Check to carry out the validation (renewal of crediting period) of the PoA "Promotion of the Improved Cooking Stove (ICS) – Nepal" in Nepal, with regard to the relevant requirements for CDM activities.

This report summarizes the findings from the validation of the updated PoA-DD, performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria for CDM, as well as criteria given by the CDM Validation and Verification Standard for PoA, CDM Project Cycle Procedure for PoA and CDM Project Standard for PoA and included an assessment of:

(a) The impact of new relevant national and/or sectoral policies and circumstances on the baseline taking into account relevant guidance from the Board with regard to renewal of the crediting period at the time of requesting renewal of crediting period.

(b) The correctness of the application of an approved baseline methodology for the determination of the continued validity of the baseline or its update, and the estimation of emission reductions for the applicable crediting period.

In conclusion, the review of the PoA-DD and the subsequent follow-up interviews have provided Carbon Check with sufficient evidence to determine the programme of activity fulfilment of all the stated criteria. In our opinion, the CDM programme of activity meets all applicable UNFCCC requirements of the CDM for renewal of the PoA period.

SECTION B. Validation team, technical reviewer and approver

No.	Role		Last name	First name	Affiliation	Involvement in		n	
		Type of resource			(e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)	Desk/document review	On-site inspection	Interview(s)	Validation findings
1.	Team Leader, Technical Expert (TA 3.1)	ÊR	Buragohain	Champok	CCIPL India	\checkmark	Х	\checkmark	V
2.	Team Member	IR	Anand	Amit	CCIPL India		Х		
3.	Local Expert	ER	Ghimire	Narendra	CCIPL Nepal	Х	Х		Х

B.1. Validation team member

B.2. Technical reviewer and approver of the validation report for renewal of PoA period

				•	•
No.	Role	Type of	Last name	First name	Affiliation
		resource			(e.g. name of
					central or other
					office of DOE or
					outsourced entity)
1.	Technical reviewer	IR	C.	Indumathi	CCIPL
2.	Approver	IR	Singh	Vikash Kumar	CCIPL

SECTION C. Means of validation

C.1. Desk/document review

>>The PoA-DD version 12 of 24/02/2022 and version 13 of 20/04/2022 **/01/**, in particular the applicability of the methodology, the baseline determination, the monitoring plan were assessed as part of the validation. Appendix 3 lists the documentation that was reviewed during the validation.

C.2. On-site inspection

Duration of on-site inspection: DD/MM/YYYY to DD/MM/YYYY							
No.	Activity performed on-site	Site location	Date	Team member			
1.							

Site visit has not been performed for the validation of the renewal of PoA period, in accordance with CDM validation and verification standard for programmes of activities, version 03.0, paragraph 30 /06/. Representatives from CME have been interviewed via zoom meeting and publicly available authentic sources were reviewed for cross checking information necessary for validation of the PoA.

C.3. Interviews

No.		Interviewee		Date	Subject	Team member
	Last name	First name	Affiliation			
1.	Dhakal	Nawa Raj	Deputy Executive Director, AEPC	08/03/2022	Status of the programme and any modifications	Champok Buragohain
2.	Bhatta	Parbata	Assistant Director, AEPC	08/03/2022	with respect to the registered PoA, The lifetime of the project activity; National and local policies and changes; Monitoring plan and changes.	
3.	Shrestha	Shreejan Ram	Environment al Safeguard Expert, AEPC	08/03/2022	PoA-DD preparation, Applicability to the latest	
4.	Chhetri	Mukti Bikram	Finance Expert, AEPC	08/03/2022	methodology; Emission Factors and their	
5.	KC	Pratima	Senior Officer, AEPC	08/03/2022	updates; Baseline of the project and its updates.	

C.4. Sampling approach

>> Sampling approach to be followed at PoA level as per registered PoA-DD. Sampling will be conducted using stratified random sampling techniques as per CDM guidelines "Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programmes of activities" /9/ the ICS shall be stratified by region, ICS type and age. When biennial inspection is chosen a 95/10 confidence precision shall be achieved and in case of annual inspection 90/10 confidence precision shall be achieved for the sampled parameters.

The minimum sample size is calculated using the procedure outlined in para 24 of Appendix 1 of the guideline 'Guidelines for Sampling and Surveys for CDM Project activities and Programme of Activities'.

The sampling approach is as per the provisions of applied methodology AMS-II.G, version 12.

C.5.	Clarification requests (CLs),	corrective	action	requests	(CARs)	and	forward	action
	requests (FARs) raised							

Area of validation findings	No. of CL	No. of CAR	No. of FAR
Programme of activities			
Compliance with PoA-DD form			
Programme of activities period		1	
Coordinating/managing entity and the project participants			
Post-registration changes			
Generic component project activities			
Application and selection of methodologies and		1	
standardized baselines			
Validity of original baseline or its update		1	
Estimated emission reductions or net anthropogenic		1	
removals			
Validity of monitoring plan		1	
Eligibility criteria for inclusion of CPAs			

Others (As per EB 113 decision)			1
Total	0	5	1

SECTION D. Validation findings

D.1. Programme of activities

D.1.1. Compliance with PoA-DD form

Means of validation	The PoA-DD was cross-checked with the latest PoA-DD template available at
	UNFCCC and with the instructions for filling out.
Findings	N/A
Conclusion	CCIPL confirms that the updated PoA-DD is in compliance with the latest version of the PoA-DD form (version 10.0) and the instructions therein for filling out the PoA- DD form. Carbon Check also confirms that the CME has updated the relevant sections of the PoA-DD in accordance with the relevant requirements in the Project Standard for PoA, version 03. Carbon Check further confirms that the information transferred to the updated
	version of the PoA-DD is materially the same as that in the registered PoA-DD /2/.

D.1.2. Programme of activities period

Means of validation	The PoA period is 7 years renewable. This is the second PoA period and its start date is 27/03/2022, which is day immediately after the expiration of current PoA period (i.e. 27/03/2015 to 26/03/2022).
Findings	CAR 01 was raised as the updated PoA-DD does not mention the second crediting period duration which has been corrected in the updated PoA-DD and hence CAR is closed.
Conclusion	CCIPL confirms that the second PoA period for the PoA commences on the day after the expiration of the current PoA duration from 27/03/2022 which is as per paragraph 388 (a) (v) of VVS for PoA version 03 /6/.

D.1.3. Coordinating/managing entity and the project participants

Means of validation	Cross checking the CME and project participants name from the list of project
	participants and CME of the PoA from the view page at UNFCCC website and
	latest MoC statement. Carbon Check also reviewed the letter of approval
	(Ref:1769) dated: 09/01/2013 issued from the DNA of Nepal authorizing Alternative
	Energy Promotion Center (AEPC) as CME and letter of approval from NDA of
	Sweden (Ref: 2015-8923, dated 10/11/2015) authorizing Asian Development Bank,
	as trustee of the future carbon fund as project participant to the programme. The
	latest MoC dated: 17/08/2021 to confirm the name of CME to be correct and valid.
Findings	N/A
Conclusion	CCIPL confirms that the CME and project participants of the PoA is listed in the
	updated PoA-DD and this information is consistent with the information provided in
	the latest MoC and hence meets paragraph 382 and 388 (a) (vi) of VVS PoA
	version 03 /6/.

D.1.4. Post-registration changes

Type of post-registration changes (PRCs)	Confirmation	Validation re	port for PRCs
	(Y/N)	Version	Completion
			date
Corrections	Ν	NA	NA
Inclusion of monitoring plan	Ν	NA	NA
Permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, or	Ν	NA	NA
permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied			
methodologies, standardized baselines, or other			
methodological regulatory documents			
Changes to the programme design	Ν	NA	NA
Addition of CPA inclusion template	N	NA	NA
Changes specific to afforestation and reforestation	N	NA	NA
activities			
Change of coordinating/managing entity	N	NA	NA

D.2. Generic component project activities

D.2.1. Application and selection of methodologies and standardized baselines

Means of validation	The CME has applied the methodology AMS-II.G Version 12 /5/. This version of the methodologies is the latest version and currently valid for the submission of the						
	PoA. The PoA meets the criteria defined in the baseline methodology as described						
	below:						
	Criteria	DOE assessment					
	This methodology comprises efficiency improvements in thermal applications of non- renewable biomass. Examples of applicable technologies and measures include the introduction of high efficiency biomass fired project devices (cookstoves or ovens or dryers) to replace the existing devices and/or energy efficiency improvements in existing biomass fired cookstoves or ovens or dryers.	The programme will use cookstoves which are higher than 20% and replaces conventional stoves. The programme intends different improved metallic stoves as provided in Appendix 7 of the PoA-DD.Hence, meets the methodology requirement.					
	In the case of cookstoves, the methodology is applicable to the introduction of single pot or multi pot portable or in-situ cookstoves with rated efficiency of at least 20 per cent. Refer to the requirements indicated in "Data / Parameter table 14" which details the options for testing and certification as well as supporting documentation (e.g. certificate issued by third party or test results) that needs to be presented to the validating DOE	The programme cookstoves are efficient stoves with efficiency ranging from 20.63% as per test certificates / 11 / which replaces conventional stoves. Hence, meets the methodology requirement.					
	The aggregate energy savings of a single project activity shall not exceed the equivalent of 60 GWh per year or 180 GWh thermal per year in fuel input	With highest ICS efficiency of 27% which replaces baseline cookstove with efficiency 10% and firewood usage of 3.07 ton per year by baseline stove, with 21,540 ICS (maximum number) in each CPA, the project can consider save energy equivalent of 179.583 GWh.					
	Non-renewable biomass has been used in the project region since 31 December 1989, using survey methods or referring to published literature, official reports or statistics	As per registered PoA-DD the Non- renewable biomass has been used in the project region since 31 December 1989. Hence, meets the applicability condition.					
	For cases where the biomass is sourced from renewable sources, the project participants should use a corresponding Type I methodology	Biomass is not sourced from renewable sources and hence no Type I methodology is used.					
	The CDM-PDD or CDM-PoA- DD/CPA-DD shall explain the proposed method for distribution of project devices including the method to avoid double counting of emission reductions such as unique identifications of product and end- user locations (e.g. programme logo).	 The PoA-DD includes procedure to avoid double counting of emission reductions. As part of that, All ICS disseminated under the PoA will be provided with unique identification number (CDM Code) which will ensure the avoidance of double counting. ICS CDM codes will be also cross-checked and verified through internal monitoring of 					

	The CDM-PDD or CDM-PoA- DD/CPA-DD shall also explain how the proposed procedures prevent double counting of emission reductions, for example to avoid that project stove manufacturers, wholesale providers or others claim credit for emission reductions from the project devices	 ICS by bioenergy section. All ICS implemented under the PoA will be listed in the database. The database system is designed with the principle of not accepting the same unique number twice. The unique number will be verified before the disbursement of subsidy which will also prevent double subsidy to the same household. Double counting check will also be done during the annual ICS users' survey conducted by an independent third party. A double counting check will be conducted by checking the UNFCCC database, to compare this PoA with the CPAs of other PoAs or other registered CDM project. Similarly, the database of other carbon schemes like Gold standard and VCS will also be checked to confirm that the CPA of the proposed PoA is not a part of CPAs of other PoAs or other registered CDM project. The procedure to prevent double counting of emission reductions are stated above and well defined in the PoA-DD. 				
Findings	CAR 02 was raised as exact text of efficiency details of programme ICS we incorporated in the revised PoA-DD an	of applied methodology was not used and ere not provided. The same is found correctly d hence, CAR is closed.				
Conclusion	Carbon Check, hereby confirms that the selected baseline and monitoring methodology has been previously approved by the CDM Executive Board, and is applicable to the Project, which complies with all the applicability conditions therein and the selected version is valid at the time of submission of the proposed PoA for renewal of crediting period. It is also confirmed that the methodology is correctly applied by comparing it with the actual text of the applicable version of the methodology. Hence, the same is in compliance with paragraph 383 of VVS for PoAs, version 03 /6/.					

D.2.2. Validity of original baseline or its update

Means of validation	The CME has included the assessment of the validity of the original baseline as per the tool "Assessment of the validity of the original/ current baseline and update of the baseline at the renewal of a crediting period", Version 3.0.1 /8/, which has been concluded to be still valid and applicable for the PoA. The tool consists of two steps. The first step provides an approach to evaluate whether the current baseline is still valid for the next crediting period. The second
	step provides an approach to update the baseline in case that the current baseline is not valid anymore for the next crediting period. Step 1: Assess the validity of the current baseline for the next crediting period

na Th in Po Er Po the ins the go re	itional and/or sectoral policies pere is no mandatory legal requirement for installation of improved cooking stoves housholds of Nepal. The policies relevant to the project are 'the Rural Energy policy', 'the Renewable (Rural) Energy Subsidy Policy' and 'the Renewable (Rural) pergy Subsidy Delivery Mechanism'. The Renewable (Rural) Energy Subsidy policy 2016 has made provisions of financial subsidy support for the installation of e household ICS. The above policies only provide the incentives for the stallation of ICS and do not provide any obligations or enforced targets, nor do ey ban the use of fuel wood for cooking. Moreoever, the project is not a povernment sponsored project and does not claim any incentive. Therefore, levant policies does not impact the original baseline.								
St Th thu Th aff ch de	ep 1.2: Assess the imp ne project involves hou e absence of the project here are no new nat fect the baseline scena hange observed in this etermine the baseline e	pact of circums usehold ICS re ect, firewood v ional/sectoral ario during the regard and it o missions in the	tances eplacing firewo vould have be policies/legisla renewal of the can be conclud e previous cred	bod based cooking system. In en used for cooking purpose. ation/circumstance that could e crediting period. There is no ded that the conditions used to diting period are still valid.					
St or re Th co cu ide 19 co the the the St "V on fac sit up CI	ep 1.3: Assess whether an investment is the newal is requested. The baseline scenario is portinuation of the curre partified during validation 289. Latest fNRB assess ountry. These scenarios e renewal of crediting is e most likely scenario f where emission factors ally once for the credition ctors, values or emission to the project activity bodated because the his DM project activity".	er the continua e most likely a dentified at th nt practice with not need any on confirmed th essment by D s justifies that period and cor for the crediting the validity of , values or em ng period, they on benchmark y prior to the i storical situatio	ation of use of scenario for the hout any invest further invest hat NRB is use NA of Nepal the baseline so the baseline so the data and p ission benchm y should be up the based of mplementation on does not ex	current baseline equipment(s) he crediting period for which of the project activity was the tment. The continuation of the ment. The baseline scenario d in Nepal since 31 December confirms the NRB use in the cenario is not impacted during re-defined baseline scenario is ich renewal is requested. arameter arks are used and determined bodated, except if the emission in the historical situation at the n of the project and cannot be tist anymore as a result of the ed PDD:					
	Data/Parameter	Value in registered	Value in updated PoA-PDD	Assessment					
	Emission factor for the substitution of non-renewable woody biomass by similar consumers (<i>EF</i> _{projected_fossilfuel})	81.6 tCO ₂ /TJ	64.4 tCO ₂ /TJ	The updated value is the default value provided in the applied methodology AMS-II.G version 12. Hence, correctly applied for the second crediting period.					
	Fraction of woody biomass saved by the project activity in year y that can be established as non-renewable biomass, f _{NRBy} (%)	86%	91.44%	Calculated using the Tool 30, Methodological Tool Calculation of the fraction of non-renewable biomass, version 03.0 which has been confirmed by Ministry of Forests and Environment, Nepal acting as DNA to UNFCCC /12/.					
	Net calorific value of the non-	0.015 TJ/Tonne	0.0156 TJ/Tonne	The updated value is the default value provided in					

	renewable woody biomass that is substituted (NCV _{biomass})			the applied methodology AMS-II.G version 12. Hence, correctly applied for the second crediting			
				period.			
	Considering the guidance are updated for the next	ce provided un crediting perio	der this step, o d as per step 2	calculation baseline emissions 2.			
	Step 2: Update the current baseline and the data and parameters Since, the existing baseline scenario is still valid, this step is not applicable.						
	Finally, it is concluded that the original baseline scenario is valid and assessment is complete as per "Tool for the assessment of the validity of the original/current baseline and update of the baseline at the renewal of the crediting period v3.0.1 /8/.						
Findings	CAR 03 was raised as PoA-DD was not transparent in all steps while assessing validity of original baseline using tool "Assessment of the validity of the original/						
	current baseline and up Version 3.0.1 which PP	date of the ba	aseline at the r ansparently and	enewal of a crediting period", d hence CAR is closed.			
Conclusion	CCIPL concludes that th DD as per paragraph 38	e validty of ori	ginal baseline i ect standard for	s justified in the updated PoA- r PoA version 3 /6/.			

D.2.3. Estimated emission reductions or net anthropogenic removals

Means of validation	The emission reductions for the project activity is estimated as per equation 1 of AMS-II.G version 12 as follows:						
	$ER_{y} = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} ER_{y,i,j} - LE_{y}$						
	Where:						
	i	=	Indices for the situation where more than one type of project device is introduced to replace the pre-project devices				
	j	 Indices for the situation where there is more than batch of project device Emission reductions during year y in t CO₂e 					
	ER_y						
	$ER_{y,i,j}$	 Emission reductions by project device of type <i>i</i> are batch <i>j</i> during year <i>y</i> in t CO₂e 					
	LE_y	=	Leakage emissions in the year y				
	$ER_{y,i,j} = B_{y,savings,i,j} \times N_{o}$	0,i,j	$(x = n_{y,i,j} \times \mu_y \times f_{NRB,y} \times NCV_{biomass} \times EF_{projected_fossil fuel})$				
	vvnere:						
	By,savings,i,j	I	cookstove device of type <i>i</i> and batch <i>j</i> during year <i>y</i> (tonnes)				
	f _{NRBy}	Fraction of woody biomass that can be establish as non-renewable biomass (fraction or %)					
	NCVbiomass	=	Net calorific value of the non-renewable woody biomass that is substituted (IPCC default for wood fuel, 0.0156 TJ/tonne, based on the gross weight of the wood that is 'air-dried')				

		FF	_	Emission factor of fossil fuels projected to be used		
		☐ □ projected_tos sil fuel	-	to substitute non-renewable woody biomass by similar consumers (tCO ₂ e/TJ).		
		N _{o,i,j}	=	Number of project devices of type <i>i</i> and batch <i>j</i> commissioned (number)		
		η _{y,i,j}	=	Proportion of commissioned project devices of type <i>i</i> and batch <i>j</i> ($\overline{N_{0,i,j}}$) that remain operating in year <i>y</i> (fraction)		
		μу	=	Adjustment to account for any continued use of pre- project devices during the year y		
	B _{y,savings} the met	avings (Quantity of woody biomass that is saved) is determined using option 3 methodology as below:				
		B _{y,savings,i,j}	= 1	$\mathcal{B}_{old,ij} \times (1 - \frac{1}{\eta_{new,ij}})$		
	Annual project a project ton/hous	quantity of woo activity to gene device type sehold/year as	ody erat <i>i</i> a s p	biomass that would have been used in the absence of the e useful thermal energy equivalent to that provided by the nd batch j (B _{old,i,j}) is determined ex-ante to be 3.07 er baseline survey considered during registration of the		
	project a as per a Efficienc	activity. Efficier applied method cy of project de	ncy olo evic	of pre-project device ($^{\prime\prime}$ old,ij) is taken 10% default value gy /04/. ce (η) is taken 27% (the highest efficiency among the		
	propose	ed ICS type on	cor	nservative side) as per test report /11/.		
	Number of project devices of type i and batch j operating during year y is 21,540 which is the maximum number of ICS that can be included in a CPA.					
	Fraction (f _{NRB}) is NRB re 91.44% 22/03/20 for the p	ction of woody biomass that can be established as non-renewable bioma 3) is calculated following procedures outlined in the tool to calculate fraction 3 referred in the methodology AMS-II.G, version 12. The resulted f_{NRB} 14%. The f_{NRB} is endorsed by Ministry of Forest and Environment, Nepal dat 03/2022 which is calculated as per the tool and therefore, justifies the f_{NRB} val he project activity / 12 /.				
	Accordir paragra adjustm reductio	ngly, Baseline ph 41 of the ent factor of m is 34,519 tC0	e e m 0.9 O ₂	emissions estimated to be $36,336$ tCO ₂ /year. As per nethodology, B _{y,savings} is multiplied by a net to gross 5 to account for leakage. Therefore, the net emission per year.		
Findings	CAR 04 latest to	was raised as ol. which CME	ino co	consistency found for dermination of B _{old} and f _{NBR} as per rected in the updated PoA-DD and hence CAR is closed.		
Conclusion	CCIPL confirms, the PoA-DD correctly lists assumption and data used by the PP for estimating emission reduction including their references and sources.					
	DD. All valu	es used in the	e P	oA-DD are considered reasonable in the context of the		
	propose The bas calculat All estir parame	ed CDM PoA. seline methodo e project, base mates of the ter values prov	olog line bas ride	y and corresponding tools have been correctly applied to e and leakage emissions, and emission reductions. seline emissions can be replicated using the data and d in the PoA-DD.		
	The val	idation team t 03.0 /6/.	ool	c cognizance paragraph 388 (a) (iv) of VVS for PoAs,		

D.2.4. Validity of monitoring plan

Means of validation The monitoring plan in the updated PoA-DD is consistent with the latest

	methodology, AMS-II.G Version 12. Validation team confirmed from the document review that the list of parameters including the means of monitoring is described in					
	monitored:					
	 a) Number of new devices distributed under the project activity identified by the type of devices and the date of commissioning & Data to unambiguously identify the recipient of the new devices distributed under the project activity (e.g. name, address, phone number). 					
	 b) Proportion of commissioned project devices of type <i>i</i> and batch <i>j</i> that remain operating in year <i>y</i> –the parameter shall be monitored annually following sample survey as per the applied methodology. 					
	c) Efficiency of the device of each type i and batch j implemented as part of the project activity - A default schedule of linear decrease in efficiency up to the terminal efficiency assumed as 20 per cent shall be applied through the life span of the project device (As per paragraph 37 (a) of the methodology).					
	d) Adjustment to account for any continued use of pre-project devices during the year $y (\mu_y)$ - the parameter shall be monitored annually following sample survey as per the applied methodology					
	 e) Life span- The technical life of project ICS as per manufacturer specification. The life span of each project device shall be monitored from date of commissioning which is to be also monitored. 					
	f) Date of commissioning of batch j- To establish the date of commissioning, the Project Participant may opt to group the devices in "batches" and the latest date of commissioning of a device within the batch shall be used as the date of commissioning for the entire batch which shall me monitored and recorded as in when the projects ICS are commissioned.					
	 g) Date of commissioning of project device i – date of commissioning of project devices shall be monitored and recorded as in when commissioned. h) Number of project devices distributed per household- Project developer shall record number of project ICS distributed to each household as in 					
	when distributed.					
	The monitoring plan is still same in consistent with the latest methodology and bence valid for the next crediting period. Carbon Check is of the opinion that					
	monitoring plan is feasible within the project design.					
Findings	CAR 05 was rasied as monitoring details were found inconsistent in the PoA-DD which were corrected through out the PoA-DD in line with the requirements of the applied methodology and hence CAR is closed.					
Conclusion	CCIPL confirms that the monitoring plan included in the updated PoA-DD is valid as per the applied methodology and conforms the registered PoA-DD.					

D.2.5. Eligibility criteria for inclusion of CPAs

Means of validation	The managing entity employs clear and unambiguous criteria for the inclusion of the CPA. The eligibility criteria's have been stated are in line with the applicability of the applied methodology AMS-II.G version 12. Following has been included as eligibility criteria for CPAs to this PoA –							
	No.	Eligibility criterion – Category	Eligibility criterion – Required condition	Supporting evidence for inclusion	DOE assessment			
	1	Geographi cal Boundary	All CPAs to be included in the PoA will be within the geographical boundary of Nepal.	CPA database & geographical coordinates of CPA	According to §122 (a), of the PS for PoAs, v3, the geographical boundary of each CPA, shall be consistent with the geographical boundary set in the PoA. The PoA boundary is set as			

				Nepal. Validation team based on review of PoA-DD /1/ confirms that the eligibility criterion is defined in accordance with the project standard.
2	Double Counting	All CPAs included in this PoA will be uniquely identified	- Each ICS to be included in the CPA have unique number (CDM code) as mentioned in section B. These unique number (CDM code) will be used to prevent double counting of ICS in the PoA as well as other ICS projects.	Validation team confirms that this eligibility criterion has been sufficiently set for all CPAs in line with §122 (b) of the PS for PoAs, v3. Validation team based on review PoA-DD /1/ confirms that the eligibility criteria is defined in accordance with the project standard.
			- A check in CDM website among other CDM projects and PoAs.	
3	Technolog y	CPA will implement improved Rocket stoves and metallic cook stoves having minimum efficiency of 20%.	- CPA database (confirmation that the ICS implemented under each CPA meets the technical specification as outlined in section A.3 of the PoA DD) - Test reports by	Validation team confirms that this eligibility criterion has been sufficiently set for all CPAs inline with the requirements and applicability conditions prescribed by the methodology, as well as §122 (d) of the PS for PoAs, v3. Validation team based on review of
			Renewable Energy Test Station (RETS).	PoA-DD /1/ confirms that the eligibility criterion is defined in accordance with the project standard.
4	Level of service	The ICS installed under the CPAs will deliver better services in terms of reduction in indoor smoke and reduced firewood consumption through improved efficiency.	This criterion will be met by compliance with the eligibility criteria (c) under section B table 1 of the PoA-DD.	Validation team confirms that this eligibility criterion has been sufficiently set for all CPAs inline with the requirements and applicability conditions prescribed by the methodology. Validation team based on review of PoA-DD /1/ confirms that the eligibility criterion is defined in accordance with

					the project
6	5	Start date	Conditions that the start date of CPA will be after the PoA start date.	 Confirmation of start date of a CPA by CME. Start date of PoA through PoA DD 	Validation team confirms that this eligibility criterion has been sufficiently set for all CPAs inline with the requirements §122 (e) of the PS for PoAs, v3. The start date of a CPA shall be after the PoA start date. Validation team based on review of PoA-DD /1/ confirms that the eligibility criterion is defined in accordance with the project standard for PoA.
	6	Complianc e with methodolo gy	Each CPA complies with the applicability and other requirements outlined in AMS II G version 12.	Applicability requirements of the methodology are met by complying the eligibility criteria (c) under section B table 1 of the PoA-DD	Validation team confirms that this eligibility criterion has been sufficiently set for all CPAs inline with the requirements §122 (f) of the PS for PoAs, v3. All CPAs utilizing this generic CPA-DD shall apply and should comply with the methodology AMS-II.G, version 12. Validation team based on review of PoA-DD /1/ confirms that the eligibility criteria is defined in accordance with the project standard.
	7	Additionali ty	Energy saving from an individual unit of CPA will not exceed 5% (9 GWh thermal in this case) of small scale CDM threshold per year as per para 2 (c) of EB 68 annex 27.	CPA DD (demonstration that each type of ICS implemented under the CPA will have annual energy savings less than 9 GWh thermal).	Validation team confirms that this eligibility criterion has been sufficiently set for all CPAs as per §122 (g) of the PS for PoAs, v3. All CPAs shall be additional to be included in the PoA provided they meet this eligibility criterion of the PoA. This is adequately prescribed in the PoA-DD. Validation team based on review of PoA-DD

			01	
				/1/ confirms that the eligibility criteria is defined in accordance with the project standard.
8	Local stakehold er consultatio n/ Environme ntal impact analysis	PoA specific requirements related to undertake local stakeholder consultation and environmental impact analysis	Section E (Environmental impacts and Section F (Local stakeholder comments) of the PoA DD.	Validation team confirms that this eligibility criterion has been sufficiently set for all CPAs as per §122 (i) of the PS for PoAs, v3. Validation team based on review of PoA-DD /1/ confirms that the eligibility criterion is defined in accordance with the project standard.
9	Diversion of ODA fund	Affirmation that public funding from annex 1 parties doesn't result in a diversion of official development assistance	Confirmation letter from CME for each CPA	Validation team confirms that this eligibility criterion has been sufficiently set for all CPAs as per §35 and §122 (j) in the PS for PoAs, v03. Validation team based on review of the PoA-DD /1/ confirms that the eligibility criterion is defined in accordance with the project standard.
10	Target group	 Target group for implementation of ICS under the CPAs will be the households using traditional cooking stoves (TCS) in baseline. Target group for implementation of Rocket ICS under the CPAs will be individual households located in high hills, hills and terai region of country. Target group for implementation 	 ICS installation form filled up by the stove installer CPA database and list of VDCs eligible for metallic ICS. 	Validation team confirms that this eligibility criterion shall ensure that all CPAs shall specify the target group for all eligible CPAs in order to confirm to the applied methodology, as well as the PoA stated policy, operational and management framework inline with the requirements of §122 (k) of the PS for PoAs, v3. Validation team based on review of PoA-DD /1/ confirms that the eligibility criterion is defined in accordance with the project standard.

			•=	
11	Sampling	of metallic ICS) under the CPAs will be individual households located in high hills or hills . All CPAs will comply with the conditions of sampling requirements in accordance with the approved Standard: Sampling and Surveys for CDM project	This will be confirmed using criterion in Appendix 5 of PoA-DD	Validation team based on review of PoA-DD /1/ confirms that the eligibility criteria is defined in accordance with the project standard.
12	Threshold check	activities and PoA. The aggregate annual energy savings from the ICS installed under a CPA would not exceed the limit of small scale threshold i.e. 180 GWh thermal in fuel input as per AMS II G version 12 para 4.	-PoA DD - Checking the CPA database that the ICS installation in each CPA is limited to 21,540 in accordance with section B.2 of generic part of the PoA DD.	Validation team confirms that the threshold criteria is defined correctly for all CPAs as per requirement of the applied methodology.
13	Debundlin g check	The CPA is not a debundled component of a large project activity.	CPA DD	Validation team based on review of PoA-DD /1/ confirms that the eligibility criteria is defined in accordance with the project standard.
14	Other requireme nts (as per methodolo gy)	Demonstration of use of NRB	 The baseline report for ICS PoA conducted by an independent third party. The use of NRB is verified by fraction of non- renewable biomass approved by the board (EB 108 annex 11)¹. 	Validation team confirms the correctness of fNRB value used for the PoA which shall be applied to all CPAs during the crediting period.
15	Other requireme nts (as per	The values for fraction of non- renewable	 CPA DDs and corresponding 	Validation team confirms the correctness of fNRB

¹ Using Tool 30, Methodological Tool calculation of the fraction of non-renewable biomass (version 03.0)

	-				
	16	methodolo gy) Choice of values of parameter s for fNRB and Boldi,j and monitoring approach for By,savings i,j,	biomass approved by Ministry of Forests and Environment, Nepal acting as DNA to UNFCCC has reassessed the value following the "Tool 30: Calculation of the fraction of non-renewable biomass version 03" and 2019 Refinement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories for "Above- ground biomass growth rates for different ecological zones" and values of parameters Bold will be determined at PoA level. Signed agreement for ER right transfer	PoA DD ER right transfer form included in the installation report.	Value used for the PoA which shall be applied to all CPAs during the crediting period.
			transfer	report.	that the eligibility criteria is defined in accordance with the project standard.
Findings	N/A				
Conclusion	CCIPL compr eligibil confirr are co confirr per the	confirms ehensive to p ity criteria wil ned by the D onsistent with ns that elgibil e requirement	that the eligibility permit the assessment be checked at e OE to be fulfilled the first credition ity criteria for the in s of paragraph 122	ty criteria are suft nent of the inclusion of ach CPA inclusion by during CPA inclusior g period. Furthermo nclusion of CPAs in the of PS for PoAs vers	ficiently objective and of CPAs in the PoA. The y the CME and shall be n. The eligibility criterias re, the validation team he PoA have covered as sion 03 /6/.

SECTION E. Internal quality control

>>The final validation report has undergone a technical review and quality reviewe before being submitted to the project participant(s) and UNFCCC Executive Board. A technical reviewer

qualified in accordance with CCIPL's qualification scheme for CDM validation and verification has performed the technical review.

SECTION F. Validation opinion

>> Alternative Energy Promotion Centre (AEPC), has appointed the DOE, Carbon Check (India) Private Ltd., (CCIPL) to perform the validation of the Renewal of the PoA period for the PoA "Promotion of the Improved Cooking Stove (ICS) – Nepal".

The validation was performed in accordance with the UNFCCC criteria for the Clean Development Mechanism, latest version of Validation and Verification Standard and related Standards/Guidance and host country criteria, as well as criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

The programme of activity will result in reductions of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions that are real, measurable and give long-term benefits to the mitigation of climate change, as stated in the updated PoA-DD. In the opinion of the validation team, the programme of activity meets all relevant UNFCCC, CDM criteria and all relevant host country criteria.

The review of the PoA-DD /01/ and the subsequent follow-up interviews have provided validation team with sufficient evidence to determine the validity of the original baseline and/or its update through an assessment. The PoA-DD /01/ correctly applies the consolidated methodology AMS-II.G, version 12. The monitoring arrangements described in the monitoring plan are feasible within the PoA-DD, and it is validation team's opinion that the CME/CPA Implementer are able to implement the monitoring plan.

During the course of validation five (05) CARs were identied on initially submitted revised PoA-DD /01/. All the CARs have been resolved by project proponent. One (01) FAR is raised as per EB 113 decision,

In summary, it is validation team's opinion that the CDM programme of activity "Promotion of the Improved Cooking Stove (ICS) – Nepal" (UNFCCC Reference number 9902) meets all relevant UNFCCC requirements for the renewal of the PoA period. Hence CCIPL requests the renewal of CDM programme of activities period.

Appendix 1. Abbreviations

Abbreviations	Full texts
AEPC	Alternative Energy Promotion Centre
BE	Baseline Emissions
BM	Build Margin emission factor
CAR	Corrective Action Request
CCIPL	Carbon Check India Pvt. Ltd.
CDM	Clean Development Mechanism
CDM M&P	Modalities and Procedures CDM
CER(s)	Certified Emission Reduction(s)
CH4	Methane
CL	Clarification Request
CME	Coordinating and managing entity
CO2	Carbon dioxide
CO2e	Carbon dioxide equivalent
СРА	Component project activity
DNA	Designated National Authority
DOE	Designated Operational Entity
EB	Executive Board
EF	Emission Factor
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
ER	Emission Reductions
FAR	Forward Action Request
GHG(s)	Greenhouse gas(es)
GW	Giga Watt
GWP	Global Warming Potential
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
LoA	Letter of Approval
MoC	Modalities of Communication
MoV	Means of Verification
MR	Monitoring Report
MW	Mega Watt
ODA	Official Development Assistance
PDD	Project Design Document
PE	Project Emission
PoA	Program of Activities
PS	Project Standard
PP(s)	Project Participant(s)
Ref.	Document Reference
SS(s)	Sectoral Scope(s)
TA(s)	Technical Area(s)
UNFCCC	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
VVS	Validation and Verification Standard

Appendix 2. Competence of team members and technical reviewers

	Car	bon	
Carbon Ch	neck (India	a) Private	Ltd.
Mr. Ch	ampok Bu	uragohair	<u>1</u>
has been qualified as per CCIPL's inte of Accreditation Standard (version 07	rnal qualification pr .0):	ocedures, in accor	dance with requiremen
	For following func	tions:	
Validator 🛛 Tea Verifier 🖾 Teo	m Leader 🛛 🖂 hnical Expert 🖾	Technical revie Local Assessor	wer
In	he following Techni	cal Areas:	119
TA 1.1 🕅 TA 4.	1 🗆 TA 9.1	□ TA 13.1	
TA 1.2 🖂 TA 5.	1 🔲 TA 9.2	TA 13.2	
TA 3.1 🛛 TA 5.	2 🔲 TA 10.1	🔲 TA 14.1	
Joseph & S. S.			Amilyo
Mr. Vikash Kumar Singh Compliance Officer	_		Mr. Amit Anand CEO
Date of Approval 24/12/2021		Vali 23/12	d Till /2022
Revis	ion History of the	Document	
01/03/2020 ² 01/09/2020 24/12/2020 24/12/2021		Interim Revision Interim Revision Annual Revision Annual Revision	for office address change for CCIPL logo change
¹ .India ² Please refer to previous version of competency.ce CARB	rtificates for the revision his DN CHECK (INDIA) PRIVA	story ATE LIMITED	
² Please refer to previous version of competency.ce CARB Regd. Off: 2071/38,	rtificates for the revision his ON CHECK (INDIA) PRIVI CIN: U74930DL2012PTC2 2 nd Floor, Naiwala, Karo	story ATE LIMITED 232495 I Bagh, New Delhi - 11	0005



	Carbon
Carbon Chec	k (India) Private Ltd.
<u>Ms. I</u>	ndumathi. C
has been qualified as per CCIPL's internal qu of Accreditation Standard (version 07.0):	alification procedures, in accordance with requirements
For fc	ollowing functions:
Validator 🗌 Team Lea Verifier 🗌 Technical	der ⊠ Technical reviewer ⊠ Expert ⊠ Local Assessor ¹ ⊠
In the follo	owing Technical Areas:
TA 1.1 Image: TA 4.1 Image: TA 4.1	TA 9.1 TA 13.1 Image: Constraint of the second
Virash & S.S.	Amilyo
Mr. Vikash Kumar Singh Compliance Officer	Mr. Amit Anand CEO
Date of Approval 24/12/2021	Valid Till 23/12/2022
Revision His	story of the Document
01/03/2020 ² 01/09/2020 24/12/2020 24/12/2021	Interim Revision for office address change Interim Revision for CCIPL logo change Annual Revision Annual Revision
¹ India. ² Please refer to previous version of competency, certificates fi CARBON CHECT CIN: U749 Regd. Off: 2071/38, 2 nd Floor, Corporate off: Unit No. 1201 Logis (City Contec.)	or the revision history. K (INDIA) PRIVATE LIMITED 930DL2012PTC232495 , Naiwaja, Karol Bagh, New Delhi - 110005 Diffee Tower Plot No. BW. 58, Sector 33 Neide Litter Benderk

Appendix 3. Documents reviewed or referenced

No.	Author	Title	References to the document	Provider
1	AEPC	Updated PoA-DD for the PoA 'Promotion of the Improved Cooking Stove (ICS) – Nepal' in Nepal	Version 12 of 24/02/2022, version 13 of 20/04/2022	CME
2	AEPC	Registered PoA DD for the PoA 'Promotion of the Improved Cooking Stove (ICS) – Nepal' in Nepal	Version 11 of 03/05/2018	CME
3	TUV Sud	Validation report for the PoA 'Promotion of the Improved Cooking Stove (ICS) – Nepal' in Nepal	Report no. 00026PT dated 01/03/2015	CME
4	UNFCCC	UNFCCC viewpage: PoA 9902 : Promotion of the Improved Cooking Stove (ICS) – Nepal	https://cdm.unfccc.int/Prog rammeOfActivities/poa_db/ RINY1D5VQT8SBMKXEG LU049C62H7AZ/view	Others
5	UNFCCC	AMS-II.G: Energy efficiency measures in thermal applications of non-renewable biomass	Version 12	Others
6	UNFCCC	CDM Validation and verification standard for PoA	Version 03 of 09/09/2021	Others
7	UNFCCC	CDM Project Standard for PoA	Version 03 of 09/09/2021	Others
8	UNFCCC	Methodological tool 'Assessment of the validity of the original/current baseline and update of the baseline at the renewal of the crediting period'	Version 03.0.1- EB 66 Annex 47	Others
9	UNFCCC	Guidelines for sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programmes of activities	Version 04	Others
10	UNFCCC	Standard 'sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programmes of activities'	Version 09	Others
11	Renewable Energy Test Station, Nepal	Test certificates of programme ICS		CME
12	Ministry of Forests and Environment, Nepal	Fraction of NRB of the host country (Nepal)	Letter dated 22/03/2022	CME
13	UNFCCC	CDM Project Cycle Procedure for PoA	Version 03 of 09/09/2021	Others
14	AEPC	The emission reduction worksheet		CME
15	AEPC	Risk acknowledgement and acceptance form	-	CME

Appendix 4. Clarification requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests

Table 1.	CL from this validation
----------	-------------------------

CL ID	XX	Section no.	Date: DD/MM/YYYY
Description	of CL		
Project parti	cipant response		Date: DD/MM/YYYY

Documentation provided by project participant

DOE assessment

Date: DD/MM/YYYY

Table 2.CAR from this validation

CAR ID	01	Section no.	D.1.2	Date: 09/03/2022					
Description	Description of CAR								
Section J of t	he updated PoA-DD a	loes not mention	the crediting period duration.						
Project parti	cipant response			Date: 20/03/2022					
Section J of L	ipdated PoA-DD has i	revised and men	tioned second crediting period	duration					
Documentati	ion provided by proj	ect participant							
Revised PoA-DD									
DOE assess	ment			Date: 23/03/2022					
Section J of	the PoA-DD is correct	cted and creditin	g period duration is correctly	mentioned for the second					
crediting period	od. Hence, CAR is clo	sed.							

CAR ID	02	Section no.	D.2.1	Date: 09/03/2022			
Description	of CAR	•					
1. The s	1. The same text of applicability conditions as specified in the methodology is not provided in the						
upda	ted PoA-DD						
2. CME	is requested to provid	e test certificate	of proposed metallic stove un	der the PoA.			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			D -1			
Project parti	cipant response			Date: 20/03/2022			
1. In the meth	e methodology section lodology.	same text of ap	olicability conditions are incorp	porates as specified in the			
2. The s	sample test certificate o	of the ICS techn	ology included in PoA-DD is a	ttached with this response.			
Plea	se see supporting docu	ument SD#1(SD	_2 List of Test Certificates)				
Documentat	ion provided by proje	ect participant					
-Revised Por	A-DD (Actual file name	here)					
-Test certifica	ates of ICS (SD_2 List	of Test Certifica	tes)				
DOE assess	ment			Date: 23/03/2022			
The exact tex	xt of the applicability co	onditions of the	applied metholodgy is stated	and justified in the updated			
PoA-DD also	the test certificates c	of programme IC	CS are submitted. The efficie	ncy of ICS are higher than			
20% and her	ice meets the methodo	logy requiremer	it. Hence, CAR is closed.				
		-					
CAR ID	03	Section no.	D.2.2	Date: 09/03/2022			
Description	of CAR	<u> </u>					
CME has no	ot provided the validty ent baseline and update	of original bas e of the baseline	eline as per the tool "Asses: at the renewal of a crediting	sment of the validity of the period". Version 3.0.1.			
Project parti	cipant response			Date: 20/03/2022			
The baseline	scenario is updated in	ICS PoA PDD	version 12 in-line with the tool	"Assessment of the validity			
of the origina	l/ current baseline and	update of the ba	aseline at the renewal of a cre	diting period". Version			
3.0.1. Please	3.0.1. Please see section I.5 of revised PoA-DD in ICS PoA PDD version 12.						
Documentat	ion provided by proje	ect participant					
Updated PoA-DD							
DOE assessment			Date: 23/03/2022				
The updated PoA-DD transparenty describes all steps while assessing validity of original baseline using tool							
"Assessment of the validity of the original/ current baseline and update of the baseline at the renewal of a							
crediting peri	od", Version 3.0.1. Her	nce CAR is close	ed.				
CAR ID	04	Section no.	D.2.3	Date: 09/03/2022			
Description	of CAR						

- The estimation of ex-ante baseline emissions, CME in section I.6.1 of the PoA-DD stated option 1 to 1 determine Bold which is inconsistent with registered PoA-DD.
- 2. The f_{NRB} for the PoA is stated as 86% in section I.6.1 which is expired as per UNFCCC page. The latest methodology refers 'calculation of the fraction of non-renewable biomass' which is not referred and discussed.

Project participant response

- The correction has been made accordingly in line with the applied methodology and registered PoA-1. DD. Please check section I.6.1 of the revised PoA-DD.
- The f_{NRB} has been re-assessed following the "Tool 30: Calculation of the fraction of non-renewable 2. biomass version 03". This has been calculated by Ministry of Forests and Environment (MoFE) of Nepal and endorsed it. Same has been discussed in section I.6.1 of the revised PoA-DD. Also, see SD#2C_Revised fNRB. for the endorsement letter from MoFE.

Documentation provided by project participant

- Revised PoA-DD

Endorsement Letter from MoFE for fraction of non-renewable biomass (SD#2_B_Revised fNRB)

DOE assessment

Date: 23/03/2022

Date: 20/03/2022

The ex-ante baseline emissions calculations are correctly shown as per the applied methodology and in consistent with the registered PoA-DD. Also, the fNRB is calculated using tool 30 referred by the applied methodology and approved by host country DNA. Hence, details are found correct and CAR is closed.

CAR ID	05	Section no.	D.2.4	Date: 09/03/2022
Description	on of CAR			
1. Cl	IE is requested to clarify	the validity of B	الط,i,j value during the second C	P of the PoA.

2. The monitoring details given in section I.7 is not consistent with the parameters provided in I.7.1 of the PoA-DD

Project participant response

Date: 20/03/2022 1. The baseline scenario has been re-assessed in-line with the tool "Assessment of the validity of the original/ current baseline and update of the baseline at the renewal of a crediting period", Version 3.0.1. Please see section I.5 of the revised PoA-DD. Accordingly, value for the Bold.Li has been updated conservatively in corresponding sections and used for the ex-ante ER calculation for the PoA for second period. Please see revised PoA-DD for clarity on the validity of Bold.I.j.

2. The monitoring details are revised in accordance with the requirements and the applicable monitoring parameters for the PoA aligning with AMS II.G Ver.12. Please see section I.7.1 of the revised PoA-DD.

Documentation provided by project participant

Updated PoA-DD **DOE** assessment

Date: 23/03/2022

CME considered the same Bold, i, value as in the registered PoA-DD. CME accessed latest available data for Bold, i, which is 5.04 tonne/HH/year and hence conservatively the existing value 3.07 tonne/HH/year has been considered for the second CP. The monitoring details are corrected as per requirements of the latest version of the methodology. Since, the corrections are found in line, CAR is closed.

CAR ID	06	Section no.	D.1.4	Date: 09/03/2022				
Description	Description of CAR							
1. Itis r	noted during off-site in	terview with CM	E, the PoA intends to include	new type of metallic ICS in				
addit	ion to existing metallic	ICS. Also mud	ICS considered in the PoA is	decided to discard from the				
PoA.	Therefore, CME is re	quested to calri	fy and explain the changed ir	n conformity to clause 9.3.5				
CDM	l project standard for P	OA, version 3.0.						
Project parti	cipant response			Date: 20/03/2022				
As mud ICS	technology are being r	eplaced by the l	Rocket cooking stoves, which	is portable, easy to operate				
and efficient	technology. This intrus	sion of new tech	nology will not change additio	nality criteria set in the ICS				
PoA DD in fi	rst crediting period. T	he project boun	dary will not be change as it	will be executed within the				
boundary of Nepal, The project will remain as a small scale cdm projects. Further this will not change any								
eligibility criteria for inclusion of CPAs in the PoA. Hence, there will not any change in conformity to clause								
9.3.5 CDM project standard for PoA, version 3.0.								
Documentat	ion provided by proje	ect participant						
Updated PoA	-DD							

Version 03.0

	CDM-PoA-RCPV-FORM		
DOE assessment	Date: 23/03/2022		
The change in the project design reported does not impact the following:			
 The applicability and application of the applied methodology 			
 Compliance of the monitoring plan with the applied methodology 			
 The level of accuracy and completeness in the monitoring 			
The additionality of the project activity			
The scale of the project activity			
 The elibility criteria for inclusion of CPA in the PoA 			
The same is explained in the revised PoA-DD, Appendix 7. So, the project fulfils the requirement of			
paragraph 279 of VVS for PoA version 3.0 and project standard for PoA para 241. Hence, the validation			
team accepts the changes reported in the revised PoA-DD. CAR is closed.			

Table 3.FAR from this validation

FAR ID	1	Section no		Date: 09/03/2022	
Description of FAR					
The coordinating/managing entities shall refer EB 113 and: i. Apply any GWP values that may be adopted by the CMP for that period in their monitoring reports for any emission reductions achieved on or after 1 January 2021; and					
ii. Upda CMF	ate their project or pro guidance.	gramme design	documents in accordance wi	ith any requirements of the	
Project participant response		Date: DD/MM/YYYY			
Documentation provided by project participant					
DOE assess	ment			Date: DD/MM/YYYY	

- - - - -

Version	Date	Description	
03.0	7 January 2021	Revision to:	
		 Remove the row of "Estimated amount of annual average GHG emission reductions or GHG removals by sinks in the next programme of activities period" from cover page and related instructions; 	
		Make editorial improvements.	
02.0	31 May 2019	Revision to:	
		 Ensure consistency with version 02.0 of the "CDM validation and verification standard for programmes of activities" (CDM- EB93-A08-STAN) and version 02.0 of the "CDM project cycle procedure for programmes of activities" (CDM-EB93-A09- PROC); 	
		Make editorial improvements.	
01.0	29 December 2017	Initial publication.	
Decision C Document	Class: Regulatory : Type: Form Function: Renewal of cred	iting period	

Document information

Business Function: Renewal of crediting period Keywords: crediting period, programme of activities, validation report